

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the  
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

Cohausz & Florack  
Patent- und Rechtsanwälte (24)  
Bleichstrasse 14  
D-40211 Düsseldorf  
Tyskland

28. OKT. 2005		
Frist bis	26	1205
Bearbeiter	SC	
Erläutert		Gesehen

## PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 66)

Date of mailing  
(day/month/year)

**26-10-2005**

Applicant's or agent's file reference

SC/ar 040242WO

**REPLY DUE**

within 60 days from  
the above date of mailing

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/003073

International filing date (day/month/year)

21-09-2004

Priority date (day/month/year)

24-09-2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

G06F3/033, G06F3/14, G09G5/391

Applicant

Nokia Corporation et al

1. ☒ The written opinion established by the International Searching Authority:  
☒ is ☐ is not  
considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority.
2. This second (first, etc.) opinion contains indications relating to the following items:
  - ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
  - ☐ Box No. II Priority
  - ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
  - ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
  - ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
  - ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
  - ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
  - ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application
3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion.

**When?** See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(e).

**How?** By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.

**Also** For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6. For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4.

If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.
4. The final date by which the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II of the PCT) must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 24-01-2006

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/SE

Patent- och registreringsverket  
Box 5055  
S-102 42 STOCKHOLM

Facsimile No. 46 8 667 72 88

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

Authorized officer

Jan Silfverling /LR

Telephone No. 46 8 782 25 00

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/003073

Box No. I Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

- ☒ the international application in the language in which it was filed  
☐ a translation of the international application into \_\_\_\_\_,  
which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:  
☐ international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))  
☐ publication of the international application (Rule 12.4(a))  
☐ international preliminary examination (Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))

2. With regard to the elements of the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of (*replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed."*):

- ☒ the international application as originally filed/furnished  
☐ the description:  
pages \_\_\_\_\_ as originally filed/furnished  
pages \_\_\_\_\_ received by this Authority on \_\_\_\_\_  
pages \_\_\_\_\_ received by this Authority on \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ the claims:  
pages \_\_\_\_\_ as originally filed/furnished  
pages \_\_\_\_\_ as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19  
pages \_\_\_\_\_ received by this Authority on \_\_\_\_\_  
pages \_\_\_\_\_ received by this Authority on \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ the drawings:  
pages \_\_\_\_\_ as originally filed/furnished  
pages \_\_\_\_\_ received by this Authority on \_\_\_\_\_  
pages \_\_\_\_\_ received by this Authority on \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.

3. ☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ the claims, Nos. \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ the drawings, sheets/figs \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ the sequence listing (*specify*): \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ any table(s) related to the sequence listing (*specify*): \_\_\_\_\_

4. ☐ This opinion has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).

- ☐ the description, pages \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ the claims, Nos. \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ the drawings, sheets/figs \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ the sequence listing (*specify*): \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ any table(s) related to the sequence listing (*specify*): \_\_\_\_\_

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/003073

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	<u>1-21</u>
	Claims	
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	
	Claims	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	
	Claims	

2. Citations and explanations:

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 20020191031 A1

D2: EP 1253510 A

D3: EP 0949571 A2

D4: BJÖRK ET AL: "WEST: a Web Browser for Small Terminals" UIST. PROCEEDINGS OF THE ANNUAL ACM SYMPOSIUM ON USER INTERFACE SOFTWARE AND TECHNOLOGY, vol. 1, no. 1, 1999, pages 187-196.

D5: US 6593944 B1

Document D1 discloses a method for presenting at least a part of an object on a screen comprising at least partially dividing at least one object into a plurality of sub-objects, see [0028] and figure 3B, presenting said plurality of sub-objects in a first representation and in response to a user operation on said at least one active sub-object, presenting at least one of said at least one active sub-objects in a second representation and making at least one sub-object of said plurality of sub-objects an active sub-object, see [0020] and figure 2B.

Therefore, all features of claim 1 are known from D1.

The same reasoning applies for the other independent claims 17-19 and 21.

Documents D2-D5 is showing similar technique as D1. Therefore, the invention according to claims 1, 17-19 and 21 is also known from D2-D5.

The invention according to dependent claims 2-16 and 20 is also considered to be known from D1-D5.